



BEEF FACTS: SUSTAINABILITY

BEEF RESEARCH

Fact Sheet 11 in the Series: Tough Questions about Beef Sustainability

How does animal health and welfare impact sustainability?

Ashley Brooks, Megan Rolf and Sara Place

While beef sustainability is often equated to environmental impact, it also encompasses economic viability and societal acceptance.¹ The dramatic increase in global population has resulted in the intensification (increased output per unit of input) of agriculture to meet growing food demand. Intensification in the beef industry has received scrutiny because some believe increased productivity comes at the expense of animal health and welfare.² In reality, ensuring that cattle have the highest standards of health and welfare is beneficial to both individual beef producers and the environmental, social, and economic sustainability of the entire beef industry.

Just like people experience stress, cattle can experience stressful events throughout their life cycle. If stressful events cause cattle to have decreased growth rates, feed conversion efficiency, reproductive rates, or lead to an increased susceptibility to illness, then all three components of beef sustainability (environmental, social, and economic) can be negatively impacted. The inter-relationship between animal welfare and sustainability is particularly well illustrated by the nexus between environmental quality and animal welfare (**Figure 1**). For example, cattle can be selected that have genetic traits that allow them to have improved disease resistance, and be more adaptable to their




Figure 1. The nexus between environmental impact per unit of beef produced and cattle welfare. Items listed in the nexus are issues that can be “win-wins” (e.g., if the heat stress cattle experience can be mitigated, their productivity improves, thereby decreasing environmental impacts per unit of beef).*

*Adapted from Place and Mitloehner, 2014³

environment. In turn, those traits could improve the lifetime efficiency of cattle to convert feed into body weight gain, as cattle that are ill tend to have lower feed conversion efficiencies. Improving lifetime feed efficiency lowers environmental impact and natural resources required per unit of beef, and lowers the cost of production for beef producers. As the preceding example demonstrates, the health and welfare of cattle is inextricably linked to beef sustainability, beyond just social acceptance and responsibility to the animals under a farmer or rancher's care.


Another example of the impact of cattle health and welfare on beef sustainability is transportation. The cow-calf phase of beef production is widely distributed across the United States and encompasses more than 765,000 farms that have an average cow herd size of 79 cows and wean an average of 73 calves per year.⁴ However, cattle finishing typically takes place in feedlots that are concentrated in certain geographic locations (e.g., the High Plains); therefore, some cattle must be transported long distances during their lifetimes. Transportation can be a stressful situation for cattle due to handling, noise, stocking density, journey duration, and various other factors.^{3,5} The stress of transportation can result in decreased immune function, decreased feed intake, and increased illness and mortality.³ One management technique to help cattle cope with these stressors is called preconditioning, meaning they undergo a vaccination, nutrition, and management program for 30-60 days after weaning.⁵ Aside from preconditioning, creating a low-stress environment prior to, during, and upon arrival is essential to managing cattle stress. To accomplish this, cattle are handled and managed properly by trained personnel.³ The stress level of the animal upon arrival at a harvesting facility drastically affects the quality of the meat obtained from the animal. Meat from highly stressed cattle tends to be dark and tough, whereas cattle that are less stressed

produce a much more desirable and tender product.⁶ Reducing stress associated with transportation results in healthier animals, higher quality beef products, and decreased food waste, all of which reduces the environmental impact per unit of beef.³

Some stressors that cattle experience, such as weather extremes, are unavoidable. Thermal stressors affect cattle health, productivity, growth, and reproductive performance even long after the weather event occurs.^{3,5} Mitigating the effects of weather extremes is not always feasible, particularly because cattle spend the majority of their lives outdoors. However, some management interventions can improve both animal comfort and productivity, which has a positive impact on the environment. Providing shade or sprinklers in the summertime and shelters or wind breaks in the wintertime can reduce thermal stresses. Reducing thermal stressors improves feed-to-gain ratios, reproductive success, and final carcass weight, thereby simultaneously improving animal welfare and lowering environmental impacts per unit of beef.^{3,5}

While eliminating all stressful events from beef production is unrealistic in the same way that we do not live our lives completely without stress, management techniques and genetic selection can be used to reduce cattle stress, resulting in simultaneous improvements of animal health and welfare. Animal health and welfare go hand-in-hand with reducing environmental impact and maintaining economic viability.

Bottom Line: Animal health and welfare are vital to beef sustainability. Healthy and comfortable animals have higher production efficiencies and less impact on the environment. Beef producers positively impact all three components of sustainability (environmental, social, and economic) through their commitment to animal health and welfare.



Literature Cited

- ¹Battagliese, T., J. Andrade, I. Schulze, B. Uhlman, C. Barcan. 2013. More sustainable beef optimization project: Phase 1 final report. BASF Corporation. Florham Park, NJ.
- ²Shields, S. and G. Orme-Evans. 2015. The impacts of climate change mitigation strategies on animal welfare. *Animals*. 5(2):361-394.
- ³Place, S.E. and F.M. Mitloehner. 2014. The nexus of environmental quality and livestock welfare. *Annu. Rev. Anim. Biosci.* 2:1.1-1.15.
- ⁴McBride, W.D. and K. Mathews Jr. 2011. The diverse structure and organization of U.S. beef cow-calf farms. EIB-73. U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Econ. Res. Serv. March 2011.
- ⁵Lyles, J.L. and M.S. Calvo-Lorenzo. 2014. BILL E. KUNKLE INTERDISCIPLINARY BEEF SYMPOSIUM: Practical developments in managing animal welfare in beef cattle: What does the future hold? *J. Anim. Sci.* 92:5334-5344.
- ⁶Hocquette, J.F., R. Botreau, I. Legrand, R. Polkinghorne, D.W. Pethick, M. Lherm, B. Picard, M. Doreau, and E.M.C. Terlouw. 2014. Win-win for high beef quality, consumer satisfaction, and farm efficiency, low environmental impacts and improved animal welfare. *Anim. Prod. Sci.* 54:1537-1548.

For more information, contact:

National Cattlemen's Beef Association
Contractor to the Beef Checkoff Program
9110 East Nichols Avenue
Centennial, CO 80112
303.694.0305

Copyright© 2016 Cattlemen's Beef Board and National Cattlemen's Beef Association.
All rights reserved.



BeefResearch.org



303.694.0305



Funded by the Beef Checkoff.